## House File 249 - Introduced

HOUSE FILE 249
BY ROGERS and KAUFMANN

## A BILL FOR

- 1 An Act relating to modification of a custody order based on the
- 2 relocation of a parent.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA:

## H.F. 249

- 1 Section 1. Section 598.21D, Code 2011, is amended to read 2 as follows:
- 598.21D Relocation of parent as grounds to modify order of child custody level of care consideration in modifying.
- 5 l. If a parent awarded joint legal custody and physical
- 6 care or sole legal custody is relocating the residence of the
- 7 minor child to a location which is one hundred fifty miles or
- 8 more from the residence of the minor child at the time that
- 9 custody was awarded, the court may consider the relocation a
- 10 substantial change in circumstances.
- 11 2. If the court determines that the relocation is a
- 12 substantial change in circumstances, in determining the best
- 13 interest of the child, the court may modify an existing order
- 14 that awarded joint legal custody and physical care to the
- 15 relocating parent and instead award joint legal custody and
- 16 physical care to the nonrelocating parent, if the court finds
- 17 that, in regard to the level of care provided by each parent,
- 18 the level of care provided by the nonrelocating parent is equal
- 19 to the level of care provided by the relocating parent. If
- 20 the court modifies the order and awards joint legal custody
- 21 and physical care to the nonrelocating parent, the court shall
- 22 modify the custody order to, at a minimum, preserve, as nearly
- 23 as possible, the existing relationship between the minor child
- 24 and the relocating parent. If modified, the order may include
- 25 a provision for extended visitation during summer vacations
- 26 and school breaks and scheduled telephone contact between
- 27 the relocating parent and the minor child. The modification
- 28 may include a provision assigning the responsibility for
- 29 transportation of the minor child for visitation purposes to
- 30 either or both parents.
- 31 3. If the court determines that the relocation is a
- 32 substantial change in circumstances, and the court modifies the
- 33 custody order retaining the provisions of the order awarding
- 34 joint legal custody and physical care or sole legal custody to
- 35 the relocating parent, the court shall modify the custody order

- 1 to, at a minimum, preserve, as nearly as possible, the existing
- 2 relationship between the minor child and the nonrelocating
- 3 parent. If modified, the order may include a provision for
- 4 extended visitation during summer vacations and school breaks
- 5 and scheduled telephone contact between the nonrelocating
- 6 parent and the minor child. The modification may include a
- 7 provision assigning the responsibility for transportation of
- 8 the minor child for visitation purposes to either or both
- 9 parents.
- 10 4. If the court makes a finding of past interference by
- 11 the a parent awarded joint legal custody and physical care
- 12 or sole legal custody with the minor child's access to the
- 13 other parent, the court may order the posting of a cash bond to
- 14 assure future compliance with the visitation provisions of the
- 15 decree. The supreme court shall prescribe guidelines for the
- 16 forfeiting of the bond and restoration of the bond following
- 17 forfeiting of the bond.
- 18 EXPLANATION
- 19 This bill amends provisions relating to relocation of a
- 20 parent as grounds for modification of a child custody order.
- 21 Current law provides that if a parent who has been awarded
- 22 joint legal custody and physical care or sole legal custody is
- 23 relocating the residence of the minor child to a location which
- 24 is 150 miles or more from the residence of the minor child
- 25 at the time that custody was awarded, the court may consider
- 26 the relocation a substantial change in circumstances. The
- 27 bill provides that if the court determines that the relocation
- 28 is a substantial change in circumstances, in determining the
- 29 best interest of the child, the court may modify the existing
- 30 order that awarded joint legal custody and physical care to
- 31 the relocating parent and instead award joint legal custody
- 32 and physical care to the nonrelocating parent, if the court
- 33 finds that, in regard to the level of care provided by each
- 34 parent, the care provided by the nonrelocating parent is equal
- 35 to the level of care provided by the relocating parent. If the

pf/nh

## H.F. 249

- 1 court does modify the order and awards joint legal custody and
- 2 physical care to the nonrelocating parent, the court is also to
- 3 modify the custody order to preserve, as nearly as possible,
- 4 the existing relationship between the minor child and the
- 5 relocating parent. Additionally, if the order is modified, the
- 6 order may include a provision for extended visitation during
- 7 summer vacations and school breaks and scheduled telephone
- 8 contact between the relocating parent and the minor child.
- 9 The modification may also include a provision assigning the
- 10 responsibility for transportation of the minor child for
- 11 visitation purposes to either or both parents.
- 12 Current law is retained regarding modification of the
- 13 order in a manner that retains the award of custody with the
- 14 relocating parent and the provisions relating to preserving the
- 15 existing relationship with the nonrelocating parent, extended
- 16 vacations and school breaks, telephone contact, and provision
- 17 for transportation of the minor child for visitation purposes
- 18 to either or both parents.
- 19 The bill amends the provision relating to posting of a cash
- 20 bond based on past interference by the relocating parent to
- 21 apply to both parents.